# The Community College Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (CCFSSE) 

## 2004 Pilot Overview

## Introduction

The Community College Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (CCFSSE) was piloted in the fall of 2004 by the Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE). The CCFSSE, designed as a companion to the Community College Student Report, elicits information from faculty about their teaching practices, the ways they spend their professional time, both in and out of class, and their perceptions regarding students' educational experiences.

Participating institutions will be able to view faculty expectations and perceptions of student engagement alongside student responses; these results will not only help member colleges identify areas of strength, but will also enable them to recognize challenges or gaps that may require further consideration. CCSSE member colleges are already using student survey results for internal review, benchmarking, and responding to accrediting agencies. The CCFSSE can be used to strengthen those endeavors and to promote faculty involvement. Furthermore, results from the CCFSSE can be used to target areas of focus for faculty development programs.

This overview is divided into two sections and is organized to provide a general understanding of survey administration and of selected findings from the CCFSSE's 2004 pilot survey. In the first section, we describe how the survey was administered and compare CCFSSE institutions and faculty with CCSSE 2004 member colleges, as well as with the national population of two-year colleges.

In the second section, we highlight selected findings and make some comparisons to 2004 CCSSE results.

Please note: The pilot administration is intended primarily to provide information important in fine-tuning the survey instrument and the survey administration process. Results from this pilot administration, while they may be interesting and useful to participating colleges, should be treated as preliminary findings, CCSSE expresses sincere appreciation to the colleges that so readily volunteered to participate in the pilot project.

## CCFSSE 2004 Pilot Institutions and Respondents

All institutions that participated in the 2004 CCSSE survey administration were invited to participate in the CCFSSE pilot project. The pilot was a Web-based survey: faculty members at participating institutions were sent an invitation email and asked to respond to the online survey via the Web. The survey was conducted online from October 15 through December 31, 2004. All faculty members whose courses were selected for the 2004 CCSSE survey administration were invited to participate. The CCFSSE pilot survey was completed by 1476 faculty members at 86 institutions. A list of participating institutions is provided at the end of this overview.

## Representation of Institutions

The institutions that participated in the 2004 CCFSSE pilot generally reflect the characteristics of size and location of colleges that participated in the CCSSE 2004 student survey, as well as the underlying national population of colleges. The numbers and accompanying percentages (in parentheses) displayed in all three columns of the table below represent within-category data.

Colleges by Size and Urbanicity

|  | CCFSSE <br> 2004 Pilot | CCSSE 2004 | National |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| By size |  |  |  |
| Extra-Large (15,000+) | $10(12 \%)$ | $17(11 \%)$ | $91(8 \%)$ |
| Large (8,000-14,999) | $17(20 \%)$ | $25(16 \%)$ | $154(14 \%)$ |
| Medium (4,500-7,999) | $15(17 \%)$ | $35(23 \%)$ | $207(19 \%)$ |
| Small (up to 4,499) | $44(51 \%)$ | $75(49 \%)$ | $625(58 \%)$ |
| By Urbanicity |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $416(38 \%)$ |
| Urban | $23(27 \%)$ | $40(26 \%)$ | $259(24 \%)$ |
| Suburban | $34(40 \%)$ | $51(34 \%)$ | $408(38 \%)$ |
| Rural | $29(34 \%)$ | $61(40 \%)$ |  |

## Representation of Faculty Respondents

2004 CCFSSE Pilot faculty respondents generally mirror the national two-year college faculty population, ${ }^{1}$ with the exception of employment status, as illustrated in the table on the next page.

## Gender

The 734 female faculty members who responded to the CCFSSE pilot account for $52 \%$ of all respondents. Six hundred seventy-nine men responded, accounting for $48 \%$ of respondents. Nationally, women comprise 50\% of faculty at two-year institutions.

## Race and Ethnicity

The CCFSSE 2004 pilot respondents' race and ethnicity closely parallel the NCES faculty data for two-year institutions.

## Employment Status

Seventy-four percent of CCFSSE pilot respondents identified themselves as fulltime faculty members, while 26\% indicated that they were employed on a parttime basis. These percentages are quite different from NCES national data, which indicate that only $36 \%$ of faculty members are employed full-time.
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## Respondents versus National Population

|  | CCFSSE Pilot Respondents | National |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 679 (48\%) | 50\% |
| Female | 734 (52\%) | 50\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| American Indian or other Native American | 11 (<1\%) | <1\% |
| Asian, Asian American or Pacific Islander | 33 (2\%) | 3\% |
| Native Hawaiian | 5 (<1\%) | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Black or African American, Non-Hispanic | 64 (5\%) | 6\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 1174 (84\%) | 86\% |
| Hispanic, Latino, Spanish | 71 (5\%) | 5\% |
| Other | 43 (3\%) | - |
| Employment Status |  |  |
| Full-time | 1051 (74\%) | 36\% |
| Part-time | 376 (26\%) | 64\% |

## Academic Rank

The table below shows the percentage of faculty respondents by academic rank as compared to national data.

## Respondents by Academic Rank

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | CCFSSE | National |
| Professor | $32 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Assistant Professor | $16 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Instructor or Lecturer | $35 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
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## Response Rates

The average institutional response rate for the 2004 CCFSSE pilot was $37 \%$. This is lower than the $80 \%$ percent of target rate for CCSSE $2004^{3}$.

## Selected Findings

This section of the overview features selected findings from the 2004 CCFSSE pilot.

## Class Size as Compared to College Size

For all but small colleges, nearly or more than half of the faculty reported their class size as between 20 and 29 students. Small college faculty taught nearly equal percentages of classes with 10 to 19 students and 20 to 29 students ( $37 \%$ and $38 \%$ respectively). Interestingly, even at the small colleges, there was a low percentage of very small classes (fewer than 10 students) being taught by these instructors.

## Class Sizes across Colleges by Size

|  | Class Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $>10$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 6 9}$ | 70> |
| College Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extra-Large (15,000+) | $3 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Large (8,000-14,999) | $4 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Medium (4,500-7,999) | $4 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Small (up to 4,499) | $7 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
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## How Faculty Spend Their Time

The table below highlights the teaching-related and other professional activities on which full-and-part-time faculty reported spending their time in a typical 7-day week $^{4}$. As expected, full-time faculty reported spending more hours teaching students than their part-time counterparts. Interestingly, though, roughly equal percentages of both groups spent between 1 and 10 hours a week on the remaining teaching-related activities. Meanwhile, both groups spent only limited time on other professional activities.

## Hours Spent on Selected Activities during 7-day Week

|  | Part-time |  |  | Full-time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching-related activities | 1 to 10 | 11-20 | 21+ | 1 to 10 | 11-20 | 21+ |
| Teaching students in class | 77\% | 19\% | 4\% | 13\% | 65\% | 22\% |
| Grading papers | 86\% | 8\% | 4\% | 74\% | 19\% | 7\% |
| Giving other forms of written and oral feedback to students | 94\% | 2\% | 1\% | 89\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| Preparing for class | 89\% | 9\% | 2\% | 78\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Reflecting and working on ways to improve my teaching | 94\% | 3\% | 2\% | 90\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Other professional activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and scholarly activities | 70\% | 5\% | 3\% | 75\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Working with honors' projects | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Advising students | 60\% | 2\% | 3\% | 83\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Supervising internships or other field experiences | 11\% | 1\% | 1\% | 25\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Working with students on activities other than course work (committees, organizations, student life activities, orientation, intramurals, etc.) | 21\% | 0\% | 1\% | 54\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Other interactions with students outside the classroom | 54\% | 1\% | 3\% | 78\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Conducting service activities | 20\% | 0\% | 2\% | 46\% | 1\% | 0\% |
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## Class Time

Question \#16 asks faculty to report the percent of class time spent on activities such as lecture, teacher-led discussion, and in-class writing. On average, faculty respondents reported spending between 30 and $39 \%$ of their class time lecturing, between 1 and $9 \%$ of their class time on student presentations, and between 10 and $19 \%$ of their class time on hands-on practice.

## Percent of Class Time Spent on Various Activities (All Faculty)

|  | 0\% | 1-9\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 10- \\ 19 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 20- \\ 29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 30- \\ & 39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 40- \\ 49 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 50- \\ 74 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 75- \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lecture | 2\% | 12\% | 15\% | 14\% | 13\% | 14\% | 22\% | 8\% |
| Teacher-led discussion | 4\% | 22\% | 26\% | 20\% | 11\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Teacher-student shared responsibility | 24\% | 29\% | 19\% | 11\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Student computer use | 49\% | 23\% | 8\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Small group activities | 21\% | 33\% | 22\% | 10\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Student presentations | 38\% | 35\% | 14\% | 6\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| In-class writing | 47\% | 34\% | 11\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Testing and evaluation | 4\% | 46\% | 33\% | 10\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Performances in applied and fine arts | 92\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Experiential | 66\% | 12\% | 6\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Hands-on practice | 25\% | 19\% | 16\% | 10\% | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% | 7\% |

## Faculty Perceptions about Student-Faculty Interaction

On the CCFSSE survey, faculty members are asked how often students interact with them, in and out of class. The table below presents the amount of time faculty members report that students interact with them often or very often; the table also indicates how students responded to the same items on the 2004 CCSSE survey. As the table highlights, on every item listed, faculty report higher rates of interaction than students, most notably with respect to receiving prompt feedback (written and oral).

Student-Faculty Interactions (Response of "Often" or "Very Often")

|  | Faculty <br> Responses | Student <br> Responses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Use e-mail to communicate with you $49 \%$ <br> Discuss grades or assignments with you $71 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |
| Talk about career plans with you | $38 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Discuss ideas from your readings or classes <br> with you outside of class <br> Receive prompt feedback (written or oral) <br> from you about their performance | $28 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

## Suggested Steps for Reviewing, Understanding and Using CCFSSE Results

* Review and interpret all results as preliminary due to the fact that this was the pilot administration of the survey.
* Do not interpret results if fewer than 10 faculty members completed the survey.
$\mathbf{*}$ Examine the gaps between faculty and student responses on items of interest to your institution.
* Examine how faculty spend their time as well as what activities they incorporate into class time.
* Review and present the findings to faculty with all the caveats noted above.
* Engage faculty in discussions about the results and solicit suggestions on how to address any gaps or other issues of concern to faculty.
* Provide faculty with information on ways the administration will support faculty recommendations for change.


## 2004 Participating Institutions

| Institution | City | State |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Asnuntuck Community College | Enfield | CT |
| Bellevue Community College | Bellevue | WA |
| Blinn College | Brenham | TX |
| Brookhaven College | Farmers Branch | TX |
| Broward Community College | Fort Lauderdale | FL |
| Bucks County Community College | Newtown | PA |
| Butler County Community College | El Dorado | KS |
| Capital Community College | Hartford | CT |
| Cedar Valley College | Lancaster | TX |
| Central Arizona College | Coolidge | AZ |
| Central Florida Community College | Ocala | FL |
| Chesapeake College | Wye Mills | MD |
| Chipola College | Marianna | FL |
| Clarendon College | Clarendon | TX |
| Clovis Community College | Clovis | NM |
| Coastal Bend College | Beeville | TX |
| College of the Mainland | Texas City | TX |
| Corning Community College | Corning | NY |
| Cuyahoga Community College | Cleveland | OH |
| Daytona Beach Community College | Daytona Beach | FL |
| Edison Community College | Fort Myers | FL |
| Ellsworth Community College | Marshalltown | IA |
| Florida Community College at Jacksonville | Jacksonville | FL |
| Florida Keys Community College | Key West | FL |
| Galveston College | Galveston | TX |
| Gateway Community College | New Haven | CT |
| Gulf Coast Community College | Panama City | FL |
| Hawaii Community College | Hilo | HI |
| Housatonic Community College | Bridgeport | CT |
| Howard College | Big Spring | TX |
| Illinois Central College | East Peoria | IL |
| Indian River Community College | Fort Pierce | FL |
| Ivy Tech State College - Columbus | Columbus | IN |
| J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College | Richmond | VA |
| James A. Rhodes State College | Lima | OH |
| Johnson County Community College | Overland Park | KS |
| Kilgore College | Kilgore | TX |
| Lake City Community College | Lake City | FL |
| Lake-Sumter Community College | Leesburg | FL |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | Beaumont | TX |
| Manatee Community College | FL |  |
|  |  |  |


| Institution | City | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manchester Community College | Manchester | CT |
| Marshalltown Community College | Marshalltown | IA |
| Miami Dade College | Miami | FL |
| Middlesex Community College | Middletown | CT |
| Naugatuck Valley Community-Technical College | Waterbury | CT |
| New Mexico Junior College | Hobbs | NM |
| North Hennepin Community College | Brooklyn Park | MN |
| North Lake College | Irving | TX |
| Northern Virginia Community College | Annandale | VA |
| Northwest Vista College | San Antonio | TX |
| Northwestern Connecticut Community College | Winsted | CT |
| Norwalk Community College | Norwalk | CT |
| Ocean County College | Toms River | NJ |
| Palm Beach Community College | Lake Worth | FL |
| Palo Alto College | San Antonio | TX |
| Panola College | Carthage | TX |
| Pasco-Hernando Community College | New Port Richey | FL |
| Quinebaug Valley Community College | Danielson | CT |
| Richland College | Dallas | TX |
| San Juan College | Farmington | NM |
| Santa Fe Community College | Gainesville | FL |
| Seminole Community College | Sanford | FL |
| Sinclair Community College | Dayton | OH |
| South Florida Community College | Avon Park | FL |
| South Mountain Community College | Phoenix | AZ |
| South Suburban College | South Holland | IL |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | Uvalde | TX |
| Spartanburg Technical College | Spartanburg | SC |
| St. Petersburg College | St. Petersburg | FL |
| Surry Community College | Dobson | NC |
| Tallahassee Community College | Tallahassee | FL |
| Texas State Technical College Marshall | Marshall | TX |
| Texas State Technical College West Texas | Sweetwater | TX |
| The University of New Mexico - Gallup | Gallup | NM |
| The Victoria College | Victoria | TX |
| Three Rivers Community College | Norwich | CT |
| Tunxis Community College | Farmington | CT |
| University of Cincinnati Clermont College | Batavia | OH |
| Valencia Community College | Orlando | FL |
| West Virginia State Community and Technical College | Institute | WV |
| Western Texas College | Snyder | TX |
| Windward Community College | Kaneohe | HI |


| Institution | City | State |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Wor-Wic Community College | Salisbury | MD |
| York Technical College | Rock Hill | SC |
| Zane State College | Zanesville | OH |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All national faculty data cited in this overview are 1999 NCES data for faculty at public and private two-year institutions.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} 1999$ NCES data do not include the categories "Native Hawaiian" or "Other"

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The number of completed surveys produced an overall "percent of target" rate of $80 \%$. Percent of target rate is the ratio of the adjusted number of completed surveys to target sample sizes. The adjusted survey count is the number of surveys that were filled out properly and did not fall into any of the exclusionary categories.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Percentages will not total to 100 in all cases because data from the "None" category has been excluded.

